


Uncovering Growth Credit: An Emerging Opportunity in Private Credit



INSIGHTS | November 2025

Executive Summary

- Growth credit is a distinct and fast-evolving segment of private credit, markedly smaller than direct lending but offering differentiated opportunities.
- Growth credit targets smaller, faster-growing companies—often venture-backed—where capital scarcity increases lender negotiating power and enhances return potential.
- Asset-level returns in growth credit often exceed 15–20%, with additional upside from warrants that may benefit from favorable tax treatment.
- Structural features such as prime-rate indexing with rate floors insulate growth credit returns from declining interest rates, in contrast to most SOFR-indexed loan products.

Introduction

Private credit has enjoyed widespread adoption by institutional and individual investors in recent years, with direct lending franchises leading the charge. Yet, within the broader private credit space lies an underexplored but increasingly relevant strategy: growth credit.

Growth credit represents debt financing provided to high-growth private companies, often backed by minority venture capital or growth equity sponsors. Growth credit is sometimes viewed as an extension of direct lending. However, it is distinct not only in scale but also in structure, borrower profile and return potential. Whereas direct lending is dominated by large, well-capitalized platforms focused on private equity-owned companies, growth credit deals tend to be smaller, with positions in the publicly traded venture debt BDCs averaging around \$14 million, and often include equity kickers in the form of warrants.

This paper breaks down the strategic rationale for growth credit, its market dynamics, and its role within a well-diversified portfolio that includes a robust allocation to private credit. It also highlights key differentiators to direct lending given the latter's status as a well-understood and bellwether strategy within private credit.

AUTHOR



BILL REILAND
*Managing Director
Chief Investment
Officer of North Haven
Expansion Credit*

Morgan Stanley Expansion Capital

is a private investment team targeting late-stage growth equity and credit investments in technology, healthcare, consumer, digital media and other high-growth sectors.

DISPLAY 1
Direct Lending vs. Growth Credit

	DIRECT LENDING	GROWTH CREDIT
Sponsor/Strategy	Private Equity Buyout	Late-Stage Venture, PE Growth Equity
Lien Position	Senior/Junior/Unitranche	Senior
Outstanding Credit (Gross Assets)	\$1 trillion	\$29 billion
Target Market (Equity Value)	\$2.2 trillion	\$720 billion
Size of Avg. Investment	\$167.2 million	\$13.7 million
Origination Process	Sponsor	Sponsor/Direct
Target Asset Level Returns	9-12%	15%-20%
Funding Providers/Structures	Banks, Drawdown Funds, Evergreen Funds, BDCs	Banks, Drawdown Funds, BDCs
Warrants	Rarely	Usually
Tax Treatment	100% Current Income	Up to 25% Capital Gains


Source: Morgan Stanley Investment Management. Provided for illustrative purposes only.

Direct Lending: Scale, Stability and Maturity

Direct lending has become the anchor of private credit. Large investment vehicles, often evergreen in structure, deploy capital into private equity-sponsored companies. These deals are marked by consistent leverage—typically four to six times Earnings Before Interest, Taxes, Depreciation and Amortization (EBITDA)—with loan-to-values in the 40% to 50% range. Their risk-return profile is equally consistent, with asset-level returns historically in the 9–12% range, which have attracted strong inflows to the strategy. Over the last five years, U.S. direct lending platforms have grown from \$400 billion to more than \$1 trillion in gross invested assets, over 35 times the \$29 billion in dedicated growth credit funds (*Display 2*).¹


Despite the disparity in the size of the direct lending market compared to growth credit, the total addressable markets are much closer in size. Direct lending primarily targets PE-backed companies owned by buyout funds and those holdings had an equity value of \$2.2 trillion at the end of 2024. That compares to the \$720 billion in equity value for companies targeted by growth credit, representing the combined total of PE growth equity investments and VC late-stage investments (*Display 3* - see following page).

DISPLAY 2
Direct lending is the dominant strategy within private credit with gross assets more than 35-fold those of growth credit




Source: PitchBook, LSEG, Morgan Stanley Investment Management. U.S. only. Gross asset value as of June 30, 2025.

¹ Source: PitchBook, BDC company filings, Morgan Stanley Investment Management.

DISPLAY 3**Growth credit is more comparable in size to direct lending by target market**

Source: PitchBook. U.S. only. Net asset value as of December 31, 2024.

DISPLAY 4**Growth credit companies are concentrated in the innovation-driven tech and health care sectors as well as high-growth segments of other industries**

Source: LSEG, Venture Debt BDC filings. As of August 31, 2025.

As direct lending has matured, it has taken on more characteristics of the publicly traded loan market. Both markets have seen spreads tighten to decade lows, although all-in yields remain in the upper half of the range in the case of direct lending. At the same time, their SOFR-based loan structures expose coupons to more downside in a Federal Reserve rate cut cycle. Minimum rate floors averaging 100 basis points are unlikely to brake those declines, given the current consensus forecast from economists that suggests that the Fed Funds rate will only bottom out once it reaches 3%.

Growth Credit: Smaller, Scarcer and Higher-Yielding

Growth credit occupies a different part of the private credit landscape. With just \$14 billion raised over the last five years and \$29 billion in total invested assets including venture debt BDCs, it is a small fraction of the size of the direct lending market. Yet scarcity creates opportunity. For every dollar of equity invested in growth companies, only about eleven cents of credit has been issued. This dramatic imbalance between the amount of equity and credit invested in growth companies can translate to more white space and less competition among growth credit lenders, and potentially better leverage in borrower negotiations.

The deals themselves are smaller—averaging under \$14 million for traditional publicly traded venture debt lenders—but they often generate higher returns, frequently reaching the 15–20% range. Warrants provide further upside, and because a portion of returns can qualify for long-term capital gains tax treatment, investors may benefit from incremental tax efficiency. Borrowers are distinct as well. Growth credit companies tend to be younger, growing 20–30% annually, and are highly concentrated in the technology and health care sectors as well as other growth niches within financial services, business services, consumer and media (*Display 4*). In many cases, particularly in the technology and related sectors, company gross margins can exceed 70–80%. High margins provide flexibility to either scale aggressively in favorable conditions or quickly cut discretionary spending (like go-to-market or research and development expense) to preserve cash flow should market conditions tighten.

Filling the Gap in an Underserved Market

Growth credit exists in a relatively underserved corner of the market. The companies that borrow through growth credit are typically not large enough to attract the attention of direct lending platforms, nor are they mature enough to secure financing through traditional capital markets.

These businesses often find themselves in a funding gap: too established for venture equity but too early-stage or unconventional for traditional bank loans. Growth credit fills this void by providing capital in a structured, senior-secured form that is less dilutive than equity yet more flexible than bank financing. Investors in growth credit are effectively compensated for solving this problem, earning higher cash yields, and participating in upside potential through warrants.

The bespoke nature of these deals means each investment is carefully negotiated, allowing managers to tailor covenants, collateral and structures to specific borrower needs. This complexity is one reason the space has not scaled rapidly, but it is also the source of its attractive return profile.


Market Dynamics and the Equity Backdrop

We believe the current equity environment makes growth credit especially attractive. The surge in valuations and deal

activity in 2020–2021 left many companies overextended. Now, with valuations sharply lower, equity fundraising depressed, and mid-sized venture funds shrinking, many companies face the unattractive prospect of raising a “down round” of equity, if they can find available equity at all. (Display 5). Growth credit offers a solution. By providing capital that does not require a repricing of a company’s equity securities, growth credit lenders enable companies to avoid dilution while bridging to a more favorable market or strategic outcome. The collapse of Silicon Valley Bank in 2023 further contracted the lender base, heightening scarcity and opportunity in the growth credit markets.


At the same time, the backlog of venture-backed companies continues to grow. As private company exits remain muted, tens of thousands of companies now sit in portfolios awaiting liquidity events. This creates a pipeline of potential borrowers that is expanding almost exponentially. These are companies often at the epicenter of the innovation and AI economy, given their venture and growth equity backing and pedigree (Display 6).

DISPLAY 5
The major venture-backed IPOs in Q2 2025 were all done at down-rounds from their private valuation peaks



Source: PitchBook. As of June 30, 2025.

DISPLAY 6
Venture backlog and company investments vs. exits remains high



Source: PitchBook. U.S. only. As of March 31, 2025.

In many ways, the current environment is reminiscent of what ensued following the dot-com bust of the early 2000s. Growth credit emerged as a vital financing tool during that time, while equity markets recalibrated. The shake-out forced high growth companies and their equity owners to rapidly pivot from growth-at-all costs strategies to one that focused on profitability and capital efficiency. Investors who positioned themselves at the cusp of that recovery reaped the greatest rewards.


A similar transition is playing out today. There are many more capital seekers than providers for young, high-growth private companies. To justify lofty valuations, many are preserving capital and driving hard to create EBITDA growth even if at the expense of top-line growth. Those efforts appear to be paying off. After troughing in Q1 2025, the median of consensus estimates among analysts following large leveraged loan borrowers points to a sharp EBITDA recovery over the next year (*Display 7*). We believe that is indicative of a broader recovery in EBITDA growth.

Growth credit provides a bridge during transitions in capital efficiency and technology paradigms. These repeating cycles demonstrate that the strategy is not merely opportunistic, but a recurring feature of the capital markets ecosystem whenever equity exuberance gives way to restraint.

Structural Distinctions: Origination, Underwriting and Resilience

Unlike other areas of leveraged finance, where scale enables efficiency, growth credit is inherently bespoke. Two challenges dominate: origination and underwriting. Small deal sizes make origination expensive, and a greater diversity of business growth models complicates due diligence.

DISPLAY 7
Median consensus estimates of forward EBITDA growth among large leveraged loan borrowers point to a strong rebound over the new year



Source: Bloomberg, PitchBook, S&P Capital IQ, Morgan Stanley Research. As of June 30, 2025.

Many lenders rely on sponsor lending strategies, investing only in companies backed by a narrow set of top-tier VCs in order to drive their origination strategies and inform their underwriting conclusions. While this lowers origination costs and leverages sponsor diligence, it also limits potential opportunities to a much smaller part of the market. By contrast, sponsor-agnostic platforms that develop in-house origination and underwriting capabilities can tap into the 85% of the market that lies outside top-tier sponsorship (*Display 8*).

DISPLAY 8
85% of VC-backed companies, plus those companies with no VC sponsors, fall outside of traditional venture lending criteria

	57,674 Venture-Backed Companies ⁽¹⁾		Not VC-Backed
	TOP-TIER VCS ⁽²⁾	OTHER ACTIVE VC FIRMS ⁽⁵⁾	INACTIVE VCS ⁽³⁾
# of Companies	8,719	28,588	4,227
% of Total Market	15%	77%	7%
Lender Universe	+\$75M	Growth BDCs	
	\$50M		
	\$25M	Specialized Venture Lenders	
	\$10M		
		Traditional Venture Debt Lenders	

Source: Morgan Stanley Investment Management. For illustrative purposes only.

These structural differences also shape risk. Growth credit typically applies lower leverage, with loan-to-values (LTV) typically below 25%, to reduce the risk of default. Growth credit investors that carefully value prospective investments based on a hard analysis of a company's private market value (even based on a stressed set of market assumptions) need to be 75% wrong in their assessment in order to approach an impairment. That compares to a typical range of LTVs between 40% to 50% in other funding markets that cater to more mature sub-investment-grade companies. Public data from venture debt BDCs suggest loss ratios of under 2%, below those experienced in the high-yield bond market featuring much larger companies (*Display 9*). Moreover, growth credit returns are structurally resilient to interest rate cuts. By indexing to the prime rate and locking in floors at the prevailing level at the time of investment, growth credit has less exposure to shrinking coupon pay rates than SOFR-based lending.

Portfolio Role: Complement, Not Substitute


Direct lending appeals to large institutional investors because of the scale and repeatability that these allocators demand. Sovereign wealth funds, pension funds and insurance companies require platforms that can efficiently absorb hundreds of millions or even billions of dollars in commitments.

Growth credit is not a substitute for direct lending, given its different target market, smaller scale and more fragmented borrower ecosystem. Instead, it plays a complementary role. The strategy is often better suited for specialized mandates or as a satellite allocation within a broader credit portfolio.

For investors, the decision often comes down to ticket size and fit. Growth credit strategies, by virtue of their smaller scale, may not accommodate the largest institutional allocators. However, for family offices, endowments and foundations—investors that often have greater flexibility—growth credit offers a way to gain differentiated exposure to innovation-driven companies at a time when equity capital is constrained.

DISPLAY 9

10-year historic loss ratios in growth credit have been lower than the much larger high-yield bond market



Source: Cliffwater, Moody's. For the 10 years ending June 30, 2025. High-yield loss rates are calculated from annualized monthly data and use the following formula: average LTM default rate * (1 minus average recovery rate). Growth credit loss rates are calculated from annualized quarterly data reported by the Cliffwater Direct Lending Index–Venture (CDLI-V) of net realized and unrealized losses.

Conclusion

Growth credit is no longer a niche curiosity. It has matured into a distinct, high-returning strategy within private credit, differentiated by its borrower base, structural features and market dynamics. The scarcity of capital, the dislocation in venture equity markets, and the expanding backlog of high-growth companies all point to an unusually attractive environment for growth credit investors today.

While direct lending will remain the bedrock of private credit portfolios, growth credit offers an important complement by providing exposure to an underserved segment of the sponsor borrower market. For investors willing to navigate its more bespoke nature and smaller scale, it offers one of the more compelling return opportunities within the broader leveraged finance space.

DEFINITIONS

Earnings Before Interest, Taxes, Depreciation and Amortization (EBITDA) is essentially net income with interest, taxes, depreciation, and amortization added back to it, and can be used to analyze and compare profitability between companies and industries because it eliminates the effects of financing and accounting decisions.

First Lien (also referred to as senior debt) is secured debt with first priority lien on borrower assets

Leverage is the degree to which a company uses fixed-income securities such as debt and preferred equity. The more debt financing a company uses, the higher its financial leverage. A high degree of financial leverage means high interest payments, which negatively affect the company's bottom-line earnings per share.

Leveraged Loan is a loan provided to issues that already have high levels of debt

Loan-to-value (LTV) ratio is a financial metric used by lenders to assess the risk of a secured loan by comparing the loan amount to the value of the asset, typically expressed as a percentage

Second Lien (also referred to as junior debt) is secured debt with second priority lien on borrower assets

The Cliffwater Direct Lending Index (CDLI) seeks to measure the unlevered, gross of fee performance of U.S. middle-market corporate loans, as represented by the asset-weighted performance of the underlying assets of Business Development Companies (BDCs), including both exchange-traded and unlisted BDCs, subject to certain eligibility requirements.

Unitranche is a hybrid loan structure that combines senior and subordinated debt

Venture Debt are loans extended to startups or fast-growing companies

Risk Considerations

Alternative investments are speculative and include a high degree of risk. Investors could lose all or a substantial amount of their investment. Alternative investments are suitable only for long-term investors willing to forego liquidity and put capital at risk for an indefinite period of time. Alternative investments are typically highly illiquid—there is no secondary market for private funds, and there may be restrictions on redemptions or assigning or otherwise transferring investments into private funds. Alternative investment funds often engage in leverage and other speculative practices that may increase volatility and risk of loss. Alternative investments typically have higher fees and expenses than other investment vehicles, and such fees and expenses will lower returns achieved by investors.

Alternative investment funds are often unregulated, are not subject to the same regulatory requirements as mutual funds, and are not required to provide periodic pricing or valuation information to investors. The investment strategies described in the preceding pages may not be suitable for your specific circumstances; accordingly, you should consult your own tax, legal or other advisors, at both the outset of any transaction and on an ongoing basis, to determine such suitability.

No investment should be made without proper consideration of the risks and advice from your tax, accounting, legal or other advisors as you deem appropriate.

Morgan Stanley is a full-service securities firm engaged in a wide range of financial services including, for example, securities trading and brokerage activities, investment banking, research and analysis, financing and financial advisory services. Morgan Stanley Investment Management is the asset management division of Morgan Stanley.

Diversification does not eliminate the risk of loss.

IMPORTANT INFORMATION

The views and opinions and/or analysis expressed are those of the author or the investment team as of the date of preparation of this material and are subject to change at any time without notice due to market or economic conditions and may not necessarily come to pass. Furthermore, the views will not be updated or otherwise revised to reflect information that subsequently becomes available or circumstances existing, or changes occurring, after the date of publication. The views expressed do not reflect the opinions of all investment personnel at Morgan Stanley Investment Management (MSIM) and its subsidiaries and affiliates (collectively "the Firm"), and may not be reflected in all the strategies and products that the Firm offers.

Forecasts and/or estimates provided herein are subject to change and may not actually come to pass. Information regarding expected market returns and market outlooks is based on the research, analysis and opinions of the authors or the investment team. These conclusions are speculative in nature, may not come to pass and are not intended to predict the future performance of any specific strategy or product the Firm offers. Future results may differ significantly depending on factors such as changes in securities or financial markets or general economic conditions.

This material has been prepared on the basis of publicly available information, internally developed data and other third-party sources believed to be reliable. However, no assurances are provided regarding the reliability of such information and the Firm has not sought to independently verify information taken from public and third-party sources.

This material is a general communication, which is not impartial and all information provided has been prepared solely for informational and educational purposes and does not constitute an offer or a recommendation to buy or sell any particular security or to adopt any specific investment strategy. The information herein has not been based on a consideration of any individual investor circumstances and is not investment advice, nor should it be construed in any way as tax, accounting, legal or regulatory advice. To that end, investors should seek independent legal and financial advice, including advice as to tax consequences, before making any investment decision.

The Firm does not provide tax advice. The tax information contained herein is general and is not exhaustive by nature. It was not intended or written to be used, and it cannot be used by any taxpayer, for the purpose of avoiding penalties that may be imposed on the taxpayer. Each Jurisdiction tax laws are complex and constantly changing. You should always consult your own legal or tax professional for information concerning your individual situation.

Charts and graphs provided herein are for illustrative purposes only. **Past performance is no guarantee of future results.**

The indexes are unmanaged and do not include any expenses, fees or sales charges. It is not possible to invest directly in an index. Any index referred to herein is the intellectual property (including registered trademarks) of the applicable licensor. Any product based on an index is in no way sponsored, endorsed, sold or promoted by the applicable licensor and it shall not have any liability with respect thereto.

"Cliffwater," "Cliffwater Direct Lending Index," and "CDLI" are trademarks of Cliffwater LLC. The Cliffwater Direct Lending Indexes (the "Indexes") and all information on the performance or characteristics thereof ("Index Data") are owned exclusively by Cliffwater LLC, and are referenced herein under license. Neither Cliffwater nor any of its affiliates sponsor or endorse, or are affiliated with or otherwise connected to, Morgan Stanley, or any of its products or services. All Index Data is provided for informational purposes only, on an "as available" basis, without any warranty of any kind, whether express or implied. Cliffwater and its affiliates do not accept any liability whatsoever for any errors or omissions in the Indexes or Index Data, or arising from any use of the Indexes or Index Data, and no third party may rely on any Indexes or Index Data referenced in this report. No further distribution of Index Data is permitted without the express written consent of Cliffwater. Any reference to or use of the Index or Index Data is subject to the further notices and disclaimers set forth from time to time on Cliffwater's website at <https://www.cliffwaterdirectlendingindex.com/disclosures>.

The Firm has not authorised financial intermediaries to use and to distribute this material, unless such use and distribution is made in accordance with applicable law and regulation. Additionally, financial intermediaries are required to satisfy themselves that the information in this material is appropriate for any person to whom they provide this material in view of that person's circumstances and purpose. The Firm shall not be liable for, and accepts no liability for, the use or misuse of this material by any such financial intermediary.

This material may be translated into other languages. Where such a translation is made this English version remains definitive. If there are any

discrepancies between the English version and any version of this material in another language, the English version shall prevail.

The whole or any part of this material may not be directly or indirectly reproduced, copied, modified, used to create a derivative work, performed, displayed, published, posted, licensed, framed, distributed or transmitted or any of its contents disclosed to third parties without the Firm's express written consent. This material may not be linked to unless such hyperlink is for personal and non-commercial use. All information contained herein is proprietary and is protected under copyright and other applicable law.

Morgan Stanley Investment Management is the asset management division of Morgan Stanley.

DISTRIBUTION:

This material is only intended for and will only be distributed to persons resident in jurisdictions where such distribution or availability would not be contrary to local laws or regulations.

MSIM, the asset management division of Morgan Stanley (NYSE: MS), and its affiliates have arrangements in place to market each other's products and services. Each MSIM affiliate is regulated as appropriate in the jurisdiction it operates. MSIM's affiliates are: Eaton Vance Management (International) Limited, Eaton Vance Advisers International Ltd, Calvert Research and Management, Eaton Vance Management, Parametric Portfolio Associates LLC, and Atlanta Capital Management LLC.

This material has been issued by any one or more of the following entities:

EMEA

This material is for Professional Clients/Accredited Investors only.

In the EU, MSIM and Eaton Vance materials are issued by MSIM Fund Management (Ireland) Limited ("FMIL"). FMIL is regulated by the Central Bank of Ireland and is incorporated in Ireland as a private company limited by shares with company registration number 616661 and has its registered address at 24-26 City Quay, Dublin 2, D02 NY19, Ireland.

Outside the EU, MSIM materials are issued by Morgan Stanley Investment Management Limited (MSIM Ltd) is authorised and regulated by the Financial Conduct Authority. Registered in England. Registered No. 1981121. Registered Office: 25 Cabot Square, Canary Wharf, London E14 4QA.

In Switzerland, MSIM materials are issued by Morgan Stanley & Co. International plc, London (Zurich Branch) Authorised and regulated by the Eidgenössische Finanzmarktaufsicht ("FINMA"). Registered Office: Beethovenstrasse 33, 8002 Zurich, Switzerland.

Outside the US and EU, Eaton Vance materials are issued by Eaton Vance Management (International) Limited ("EVMIL") 125 Old Broad Street, London, EC2N 1AR, UK, which is authorised and regulated in the United Kingdom by the Financial Conduct Authority.

Italy: MSIM FMIL (Milan Branch), (Sede Secondaria di Milano) Palazzo Serbelloni Corso Venezia, 16 20121 Milano, Italy. **The Netherlands:** MSIM FMIL (Amsterdam Branch), Rembrandt Tower, 11th Floor Amstelplein 11096HA, Netherlands. **France:** MSIM FMIL (Paris Branch), 61 rue de Monceau 75008 Paris, France. **Spain:** MSIM FMIL (Madrid Branch), Calle Serrano 55, 28006, Madrid, Spain. **Germany:** MSIM FMIL Frankfurt Branch, Große Gallusstraße 18, 60312 Frankfurt am Main, Germany (Gattung: Zweigniederlassung (FDI) gem. § 53b KWG). **Denmark:** MSIM FMIL (Copenhagen Branch), Gørrissen Federspiel, Axel Towers, Axeltorv2, 1609 Copenhagen V, Denmark.

MIDDLE EAST

Dubai: MSIM Ltd (Representative Office, Unit Precinct 3-7th Floor-Unit 701 and 702, Level 7, Gate Precinct Building 3, Dubai International Financial Centre, Dubai, 506501, United Arab Emirates. Telephone: +97 (0)14 709 7158).

This document is distributed in the Dubai International Financial Centre by Morgan Stanley Investment Management Limited (Representative Office), an entity regulated by the Dubai Financial Services Authority ("DFSA"). It is intended for use by professional clients and market counterparties only. This document is not intended for distribution to retail clients, and retail clients should not act upon the information contained in this document.

U.S.

NOT FDIC INSURED. OFFER NO BANK GUARANTEE. MAY LOSE VALUE. NOT INSURED BY ANY FEDERAL GOVERNMENT AGENCY. NOT A DEPOSIT.

ASIA PACIFIC

Hong Kong: This material is disseminated by Morgan Stanley Asia Limited for use in Hong Kong and shall only be made available to "professional investors" as defined under the Securities and Futures Ordinance of Hong Kong (Cap 571). The contents of this material have not been reviewed nor approved by any regulatory authority including the Securities and Futures Commission in Hong Kong. Accordingly, save where an exemption is available under the relevant law, this material shall not be issued, circulated, distributed, directed at, or made available to, the public in Hong Kong. **Singapore:** This material is disseminated by Morgan Stanley Investment Management Company and may not be circulated or distributed, whether directly or indirectly, to persons in Singapore other than to (i) an accredited investor (ii) an expert investor or (iii) an institutional investor as defined in Section 4A of the Securities and Futures Act, Chapter 289 of Singapore ("SFA"); or (iv) otherwise pursuant to, and in accordance with the conditions of, any other applicable provision of the SFA. This publication has not been reviewed by the Monetary Authority of Singapore. **Australia:** This material is provided by Morgan Stanley Investment Management (Australia) Pty Ltd ABN 22122040037, AFSL No. 314182 and its affiliates and does not constitute an offer of interests. Morgan Stanley Investment Management (Australia) Pty Limited arranges for MSIM affiliates to provide financial services to Australian wholesale clients. Interests will only be offered in circumstances under which no disclosure is required under the Corporations Act 2001 (Cth) (the "Corporations Act"). Any offer of interests will not purport to be an offer of interests in circumstances under which disclosure is required under the Corporations Act and will only be made to persons who qualify as a "wholesale client" (as defined in the Corporations Act). This material will not be lodged with the Australian Securities and Investments Commission.

Japan

This material may not be circulated or distributed, whether directly or indirectly, to persons in Japan other than to (i) a professional investor as defined in Article 2 of the Financial Instruments and Exchange Act ("FIEA") or (ii) otherwise pursuant to, and in accordance with the conditions of, any other allocable provision of the FIEA. This material is disseminated in Japan by Morgan Stanley Investment Management (Japan) Co., Ltd., Registered No. 410 (Director of Kanto Local Finance Bureau (Financial Instruments Firms)), Membership: the Japan Securities Dealers Association, The Investment Trusts Association, Japan, the Japan Investment Advisers Association and the Type II Financial Instruments Firms Association.